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## Integrative Analysis

Wikipedia. Data integration "involves combining data residing in different sources and providing users with a unified view of these data. This process becomes significant in a variety of situations, which include both commercial and scientific".

System Biology. Integrative Analysis: Analysis of heterogeneous types of data from inter-platform technologies.

Goal. Combine multiple types of data:

- Contribute to a better understanding of biological mechanism.
- Have the potential to improve the diagnosis and treatments of complex diseases.
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- "Omics." Y matrix: gene expression, X matrix: SNP (single nucleotide polymorphism). Many others such as proteomic, metabolomic data.
- "neuroimaging". Y matrix: behavioral variables, X matrix: brain activity (e.g., EEG, fMRI, NIRS)
- "neuroimaging genetics." Y matrix: fMRI (Fusion of functional magnetic resonance imaging), $\mathbf{X}$ matrix: SNP
- "Ecology/Environment." Y matrix: Water quality variables, X matrix: Landscape variables
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## Data: Constraints and Aims

- Main constraint: situation with $p>n$
- Aims:

1. Symmetric situation. Analysis the associations between two blocks of information, analysis focuses on shared information.
2. Asymmetric situation. $\mathbf{X}$ matrix $=$ predictors and $\mathbf{Y}$ matrix $=$ responses variables, analysis focuses on prediction.

- Partial Least Square Family: dimension reduction approaches
- PLS find pairs of latent vectors $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{X}}=\mathbf{X u}, \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{Y}}=\mathbf{Y v}$ with maximal covariance.

$$
\text { e.g., } \quad \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{x}}=u_{1} \times S N P_{1}+u_{2} \times S N P_{2}+\ldots+u_{p} \times S N P_{p}
$$

- Symmetric situation and Asymmetric situation.
- Successive matrix decomposition of $\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{Y}$ into new latent variables.


## PLS and sparse PLS

PLS

- Output of PLS: $K$ pairs of latent variables $\left(\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{X}}{ }^{k}, \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{Y}}{ }^{k}\right)$, $k=1, \ldots, K$ with $K \ll \min (p, q)$.
- Reduction method but no variable selection for extracting the most relevant variables from each latent variables.


## PLS and sparse PLS

PLS

- Output of PLS: $K$ pairs of latent variables ( $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{X}}{ }^{k}, \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{Y}}{ }^{k}$ ), $k=1, \ldots, K$ with $K \ll \min (p, q)$.
- Reduction method but no variable selection for extracting the most relevant variables from each latent variables.


## sparse PLS

- sparse PLS select the relevant SNPs
- Some coefficients $u_{l}$ are equal to 0 $C^{k}=u_{1} \times S N P_{1}+\underbrace{u_{2}}_{=0} \times S N P_{2}+\underbrace{u_{3}}_{=0} \times S N P_{3}+\ldots+u_{p} \times S N P_{p}$
- The sPLS components are linear combinations of the selected variables
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## Group structures within the data

- Natural example: Categorical variables which is a group of dummies variables in a regression setting.
- Genomics: genes within the same pathway have similar functions and act together in regulating a biological system.
$\hookrightarrow$ These genes can add up to have a larger effect
$\hookrightarrow$ can be detected as a group (i.e., at a pathway or gene set/module level).

We consider variables are divided into groups:

- Example $p$ : SNPs grouped into $K$ genes

$$
\mathbf{X}=[\underbrace{S N P_{1}, \ldots+S N P_{k}}_{\text {gene }_{1}}|\underbrace{S N P_{k+1}, S N P_{k+2}, \ldots, S N P_{h}}_{\text {gene }_{2}}| \ldots \mid \underbrace{S N P_{l+1}, \ldots, S N P_{p}}_{\text {gene }_{k}}]
$$

- Example $p$ : genes grouped into $K$ pathways/modules ( $X_{j}=$ gene $_{j}$ )

$$
\mathbf{X}=[\underbrace{X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{k}}_{M_{1}}|\underbrace{X_{k+1}, X_{k+2}, \ldots, X_{h}}_{M_{2}}| \ldots \mid \underbrace{X_{1+1}, X_{1+2}, \ldots, X_{p}}_{M_{K}}]
$$
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## Sparse Group PLS

Aim: combine both sparsity of groups and within each group.
Example, $\boldsymbol{X}$ matrix = genes, we might be interested in identifying particularly important genes in pathways of interest.

- sparse PLS components (sPLS)

$$
C^{k}=u_{1} \times X_{1}+\underbrace{u_{2}}_{=0} \times X_{2}+\underbrace{u_{3}}_{=0} \times X_{3}+\ldots+u_{p} \times X_{p}
$$

- group PLS components (gPLS)

$$
C^{k}=\overbrace{\underbrace{u_{1}}_{=0} X_{1}+\underbrace{u_{2}}_{=0} X_{2}}^{\text {module }_{1}}+\overbrace{\underbrace{u_{3}}_{\neq 0} X_{3}+\underbrace{u_{4}}_{\neq 0} X_{1}+\underbrace{u_{5}}_{\neq 0} X_{5}}^{\text {module }_{2}} \ldots \overbrace{\underbrace{u_{p-1}}_{=0} X_{p-1}+\underbrace{u_{p}}_{=0} X_{p}}^{\text {module }_{K}}
$$

- sparse group PLS components (sgPLS)

$$
C^{k}=\overbrace{\underbrace{u_{1}}_{=0} X_{1}+\underbrace{u_{2}}_{=0} X_{2}}^{\text {module }_{1}}+\overbrace{\underbrace{u_{3}}_{\neq 0} X_{3}+\underbrace{u_{4}}_{=0} X_{4}+\underbrace{u_{5} X_{5}}_{=0} \ldots \overbrace{\underbrace{u_{p-1}}_{=0} X_{p-1}}^{\text {module }_{2}}+\underbrace{u_{p}}_{=0} X_{p}}^{\text {module }_{K}}
$$

## Aims in regression setting:



- Select group variables taking into account the data structures; all the variables within a group are selected otherwise none of them are selected
- Combine both sparsity of groups and within each group; only relevant variables within a group are selected


## Illustration: DALIA trial



- Evaluation of the safety and the immunogenicity of a vaccine on $n=19$ HIV-infected patients.
- The vaccine was injected on weeks $0,4,8$ and 12 while patients received an antiretroviral therapy.
- An interruption of the antiretrovirals was performed at week 24.
- After vaccination, a deep evaluation of the immune response was performed at week 16.
- Repeated measurements of the main immune markers and gene expression were performed every 4 weeks until the end of the trials.
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## DALIA trial: Question?

First results obtained using group of genes

- Significant change of gene expression among 69 modules over time before antiretroviral treatment interruption.
- How the gene abundance of these 69 modules as measured at week 16 correlated with immune markers measured at the same time.



## sPLS, gPLS and sgPLS

- Responses variables $\mathbf{Y}=$ immune markers composed of $q=7$ cytokines (IL21, IL2, IL13, IFNg, Luminex score, TH1 score, CD4).
- Predictors variables $\mathbf{X}=$ gene expressions $(p=5399)$ extracted from the 69 modules.
- Use the structure of the data (modules) for gPLS and sgPLS. Each gene belongs to one of the 69 modules.
- Asymmetric situation.


## Results

- Tuning parameters: number of components, number of selected groups, number of selected genes
$\hookrightarrow$ mean square error of prediction (MSEP)
$\hookrightarrow$ estimated by K-fold cross-validation
- Cumulative percentage of variance of the responses:

|  | comp1 | comp2 | comp3 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| sPLS | 70.05 | 84.19 | 89.53 |
| gPLS | 55.13 | 73.72 | 83.43 |
| sgPLS | 64.18 | 83.19 | 89.25 |

## Results: Modules and number of genes selected

|  |  | gPLS |  |  | sgPLS |  | sPLS |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | size | comp1 | comp2 | comp3 | comp1 | comp2 | comp3 | comp1 | comp2 | comp3 |
| M1.1 | 79 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 1 |
| M3.2 | 126 | 126 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 |
| M3.5 | 131 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 24 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 1 |
| M3.6 | 42 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| M4.1 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| M4.13 | 72 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| M4.15 | 41 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 1 |
| M4.2 | 43 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 1 |
| M4.6 | 104 | 104 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 2 | 0 |
| M5.1 | 214 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 2 | 4 |
| M5.14 | 54 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 2 |
| M5.15 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 |
| M5.7 | 119 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 40 | 8 | 0 | 2 |
| M6.13 | 38 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| M6.6 | 40 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| M7.1 | 150 | 150 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 2 | 2 |
| M7.27 | 29 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| M4.7 | 82 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 0 |
| M6.7 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 1 |
| M8.59 | 13 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| M5.2 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| M4.8 | 53 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| M7.35 | 19 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| M4.11 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Results: Modules and number of genes selected

|  | size | pPLS |  |  | sepp. 5 |  |  | spLS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | compl | comp ${ }^{2}$ | cemp ${ }^{3}$ | compl | $c_{\text {cmp } 2}$ | emp3 | compl | comp2 | comp 3 |
| M1.1 | 79 | ${ }^{79}$ | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 1 |
| M3.2 | 126 | 126 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 |
| M3.5 | 131 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 24 | 0 | $?$ | 7 | 1 |
| M3.6 | 42 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | a |
| M4. 1 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | a |
| M4.13 | 72 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| M4.15 | 41 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 1 |
| Ma. | 43 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 1 |
| M4/6 | 104 | 104 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 2 | 0 |
| M5. 1 | 214 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 2 | 4 |
| Ms. 14 | 54 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 2 |
| MS.15 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 |
| Ms. 7 | 119 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | a | 40 | 8 | 0 | 2 |
| M6. 13 | 38 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| м6.6 | 40 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| M7.1 | 150 | 150 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 2 | 2 |
| MT. 27 | 29 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| M 4.7 | 82 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 0 |
| M6. 7 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 1 |
| M8. 59 | 13 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| M5. 2 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| M4.8 | 53 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| M7.35 | 19 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| M 4.11 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| M2.1 | 105 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| M3. 1 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| M4. 12 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| M 4.16 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| M4.9 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| Ms. 10 | 196 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| Ms.11 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 |
| MS. 13 | 147 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| M53 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| M54 | 115 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| M5.5 | 211 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 4 | 0 |
| M5.6 | 126 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| M5.8 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 |
| M5.9 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| M6. 10 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| M6. 14 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| M6. 2 | 121 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| M6. 20 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | a |
| M6.4 | 88 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | - |
| M6.9 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| m]. 11 | 104 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| MT. 12 | 108 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| M 7.14 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 |
| M7. 15 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| M 1.16 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| M7.2 | 93 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 |
| M 21 | 76 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| M ${ }^{2} 24$ | 65 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| M7.25 | 93 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| MT.26 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| M7.4 | 108 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 |
| M75 | 132 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 2 |
| M7.6 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| M78 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| M8. 13 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| M8.14 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| M7.33 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| M77 | 89 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
| M 4.14 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Ma4 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| M 4.5 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
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## Results: Venn diagram



- sgPLS methods selected slightly more genes than the sPLS (respectively 487 and 420 genes selected)
- But sgPLS selected fewer modules than the sPLS (respectively 21 and 64 groups of genes selected by sPLS)
- Of note, all the 21 groups of genes selected by the sgPLS were included in those selected by the sPLS method.
- sgPLS selected slightly more modules than gPLS (4 more, 14/21 in common). .
- However, gPLS led to more genes selected than sgPLS (944)
- In this application, the sgPLS approach led to a parsimonious selection of modules and genes that sound very relevant biologically Chaussabel's functional modules: http://www.biir.net/public_wikis/module_annotation/V2_Trial_8_Modules


## Visualisation of these associations



## Stability of the variable selection (100 bootstrap samples)
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Now some mathematics ...

## PLS family

PLS: Partial Least Squares or Projection to Latent Structures
(i) Partial Least Squares Correlation (PLSC) also called PLS-SVD,
(ii) PLS in mode A (PLS-W2A, for Wold's Two-Block, Mode A PLS),
(iii) PLS in mode B (PLS-W2B) also called Canonical Correlation Analysis (CCA)
(iv) Partial Least Squares Regression (PLSR, or PLS2).

## PLS family

PLS: Partial Least Squares or Projection to Latent Structures
(i) Partial Least Squares Correlation (PLSC) also called PLS-SVD,
(ii) PLS in mode A (PLS-W2A, for Wold's Two-Block, Mode A PLS),
(iii) PLS in mode B (PLS-W2B) also called Canonical Correlation Analysis (CCA)
(iv) Partial Least Squares Regression (PLSR, or PLS2).

- (i),(ii) and (iii) are symmetric while (iv) is asymmetric.
- Different objective functions to optimise.
- Good news: all are based on the singular value decomposition (SVD).


## Singular Value Decomposition (SVD)

## Definition 1

Let a matrix $\mathcal{M}: p \times q$ of rank $r$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{M}=\boldsymbol{U} \boldsymbol{\Delta} \boldsymbol{V}^{\boldsymbol{\top}}=\sum_{l=1}^{r} \delta_{l} \mathbf{u}_{l} \boldsymbol{v}_{l}^{\boldsymbol{\top}}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

- $\boldsymbol{U}=\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{l}\right): p \times r$ and $\boldsymbol{V}=\left(\boldsymbol{v}_{l}\right): q \times r$ are two orthogonal matrices which contain the normalised left (resp. right) singular vectors
- $\boldsymbol{\Delta}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{r}\right)$ : the ordered singular values $\delta_{1} \geqslant \delta_{2} \geqslant \cdots \geqslant \delta_{r}$.


## Connexion between SVD and maximum covariance

Optimization problem of the PLS:

$$
\left(\mathbf{u}^{*}, \boldsymbol{v}^{*}\right)=\underset{\|\boldsymbol{u}\|_{2}=\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{2}=1}{\operatorname{argmax}} \operatorname{Cov}(\mathbf{X} \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{Y} \mathbf{v}), \quad h=1, \ldots, r,
$$

## Connexion between SVD and maximum covariance

Optimization problem of the PLS:

$$
\left(\boldsymbol{u}^{*}, \boldsymbol{v}^{*}\right)=\underset{\|\boldsymbol{u}\|_{2}=\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{2}=1}{\operatorname{argmax}} \operatorname{Cov}(\mathbf{X} \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{Y} \mathbf{v}), \quad h=1, \ldots, r,
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$$
\left(\boldsymbol{u}^{*}, \boldsymbol{v}^{*}\right)=\underset{\|\boldsymbol{u}\|_{2}=\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{2}=1}{\operatorname{argmax}} \operatorname{Cov}(\mathbf{X} \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{Y} \mathbf{v}), \quad h=1, \ldots, r,
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The solution is given by the SVD of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal { M }}=\mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{Y}$ :
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Why is it useful?

## SVD properties

## Theorem 2

Eckart-Young (1936) states that the SVD provides the best reconstitution (in a least squares sense) of a given matrix $\boldsymbol{\mathcal { M }}$ by a matrix with a lower rank:

$$
\min _{\mathcal{A} \text { of rank } k}\|\boldsymbol{M}-\mathcal{A}\|_{F}^{2}=\sum_{l=k+1}^{r} \delta_{l}^{2}=\left\|\mathcal{M}-\sum_{l=1}^{k} \delta_{l} \boldsymbol{u} \boldsymbol{\boldsymbol { v } _ { l } ^ { \top }} \mid\right\|_{F}^{2} .
$$

If the minimum is searched for matrices $\mathcal{A}$ of rank 1 , which are under the form $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}}^{\top}$ where $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{v}}$ are non-zero vectors, we obtain

$$
\min _{\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}, \widetilde{\mathbf{v}}}\left\|\boldsymbol{M}-\widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}}^{\top}\right\|_{F}^{2}=\sum_{l=2}^{r} \delta_{l}^{2}=\left\|\boldsymbol{M}-\delta_{1} \boldsymbol{u}_{1} \boldsymbol{v}_{1}^{\top}\right\|_{F}^{2}
$$

## SVD properties

Thus, solving

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{v}}}{\operatorname{argmin}}\left\|\mathcal{M}-\widetilde{\boldsymbol{u} v}^{\top}\right\|_{F}^{2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$ and norming the resulting vectors gives us $\boldsymbol{u}_{1}$ and $\boldsymbol{v}_{1}$.
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$\hookrightarrow$ rendering possible the use of many existing variable selection techniques using regularisation penalties.


## SVD properties

Thus, solving

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{v}}}{\operatorname{argmin}}\left\|\boldsymbol{M}-\widetilde{\boldsymbol{u} v}^{\top}\right\|_{F}^{2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and norming the resulting vectors gives us $\boldsymbol{u}_{1}$ and $\boldsymbol{v}_{1}$.

- Shen and Huang (2008) connected (2) to least square minimisation in regression
$\hookrightarrow$ rendering possible the use of many existing variable selection techniques using regularisation penalties.
- Same spirit, we propose iterative algorithms to find normed vectors $\widetilde{u}$ and $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{v}}$ that minimise the following penalised sum-of-squares criterion

$$
\left\|\boldsymbol{M}-\widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}}^{\top}\right\|_{F}^{2}+P_{\lambda}(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{v}}),
$$

for specific cases of matrix $\boldsymbol{\mathcal { M }}$ and several penalisation terms $P_{\lambda}(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{v}})$.
$\hookrightarrow$ many sparse versions of the four methods (i)-(iv).

Now some R code ....

## Package related to PLS model

- plsdepot: contains different methods for PLS analysis of one or two data tables such as Tucker's Inter-Battery, NIPALS, SIMPLS, SIMPLS-CA, PLS Regression, and PLS Canonical Analysis.
- pls: Multivariate regression methods Partial Least Squares Regression (PLSR), Principal Component Regression (PCR) and Canonical Powered Partial Least Squares (CPPLS).
- plspm: Tools for Partial Least Squares Path Modeling (PLS-PM)
- spls: This package provides functions for fitting a Sparse Partial Least Squares Regression and Classification
- mix0mics: Omics Data Integration Project including generalised Canonical Correlation Analysis, sparse Partial Least Squares and sparse Discriminant Analysis
- PMA: Performs Penalized Multivariate Analysis: a penalized matrix decomposition, sparse principal components analysis, and sparse canonical correlation analysis


## Main Packages related to lasso model: univariate response variable

- glmnet: Lasso and Elastic-Net Regularized Generalized Linear Models
- lars: Least Angle Regression, Lasso and Forward Stagewise
- penalized: L1 (Lasso and Fused Lasso) and L2 (Ridge) Penalized Estimation in GLMs and in the Cox Model
- SGL: SGL: Fit a GLM (or cox model) with a combination of lasso and group lasso regularization
- lassoscore: High-Dimensional Inference with the Penalized Score Test


## Main Packages related to lasso model: Multivariate response variable

- glmnet: Lasso for multivariate response based on a group penalty
- MSGLasso: Multivariate Sparse Group Lasso for computing the multivariate sparse group lasso with complex group structures.


## R package: sgPLS

- sgPLS package implements sPLS, gPLS and sgPLS methods: http://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/sgPLS/index.html
- Including some functions for choosing the tuning parameters related to predictor matrix for different sparse PLS model (regression mode).
- Some simple code to perform a sgPLS method.

```
model.sgPLS <- sgPLS(X, Y, ncomp = 2, mode = "regression",
    keepX = c(4, 4), keepY = c(4, 4),
    ind.block.x = ind.block.x ,
    ind.block.y = ind.block.y,
    alpha.x = c(0.5, 0.5),
    alpha.y = c(0.5, 0.5))
```

- Last version includes sparse group Discriminant Analysis.
- Package compatible with many mixOmics functions


## Concluding Remarks

- Provide two sparse PLS approaches taking into account the data structure
- group PLS which enables to select group of variables.
- sparse group PLS which adds some sparsity within group.
- Methods available for the 4 cases of PLS models.
- Simulation and application highlight the advantages of the group PLS and sparse group compared to sparse PLS.
- Methods available through sgPLS R package.


## Concluding Remarks

- Provide two sparse PLS approaches taking into account the data structure
- group PLS which enables to select group of variables.
- sparse group PLS which adds some sparsity within group.
- Methods available for the 4 cases of PLS models.
- Simulation and application highlight the advantages of the group PLS and sparse group compared to sparse PLS.
- Methods available through sgPLS R package.
- Extension to other penalty functions:
- In linear model setting: Garcia et al (2014) proposed method to select important regressor groups, subgroups and individuals.
- One more layout than the sparse group Lasso.
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## ANY QUESTIONS ?

